





ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION

# BLENDED HYDRAULIC CEMENT

(per ASTM C219 and specified in ASTM C595, ASTM C1157, AASHTO M 240, or CSA A3001)

REVISED October 2023: GCCA Tool v4.1





# **ASTM Certification page**

This document is a Type III industry average Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) covering Blended hydraulic cements produced in the United States (US) by Portland Cement Association (PCA) members. The results of the underlying LCA are computed with the North American (N.A.) version of the Global Cement and Concrete Association's (GCCA) Industry EPD tool for cement and concrete [4]. This tool and the underlying LCA model and database have been previously verified to conform to the prevailing sup-product category rule (PCR) [11], ISO 21930:2017 (the core PCR) [10] as well as ISO 14025:2006 [7] and ISO 14040/4/:2006 Amd: 2020 LCA standards [8], [9].

This EPD is certified by ASTM to conform to the Sub-Product Category Rule (PCR) referenced below, as well as to the requirements of ISO 14025 and ISO 21930.

	Port and Cement Association
	5420 Old Orchard Road
DECLARATION HOLDER	Skakie. IL, USA
	60077-1083
	www.cemert.org
DECLARATION NUMBER	FPD 193
PRODUCT GROUP AND NAME	Cemert, UN CPC 3744
DECLARED PRODUCT	B ended Hydraulic cement(s) as a category product
REFERENCE PCR	NSF Product Category Rule for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration for Portland, Blended Hydraulic, Masonry, Mortar, and Plastic (Stucco) Cements. V3.1, September 2020.
PROGRAM OPERATOR	ASTM International 100 Barr Harbor Drive West Consholocker, PA, USA 19428-2959 www.astm.org
DATE OF ISSUE	03 12 2021
PERIOD OF VALIDITY	03-12-2021- 03-12-2026
	The EPD results are computed using the N.A. version of
NOTES	GCCA Industry FPD tool for Cement and Concrete
	{https://concrete-epd-tool.org).





#### **EPD VER FIGATION**

This FPD has been certified by an independent, external verifier in accordance with ISO 14025.

Tim Brooke ASTM International Date verified Thomas P. Gloria, Ph. D. Industrial Ecology Consultants Date verified

# Product description and applicable standards

The product under evaluation is blended hydraulic cement as defined by ASTM C219 and specified in ASTM C595, ASTM C1157, AASHTO MI240 or CSA A3001.

A hydraulic cement consisting of two or more inorganic constituents (at least one of which is not portland cement or portland cement clinker) which separately or in combination contribute to the strength gaining properties of the cement, (mode with or without other constituents, processing additions and functional additions, by intergrinding or other blending). (ASTM C219)

As a category, blended cement types are identified by their proportional constituents, such as: *Type IP* (ASTM C595) — Portland-pozzolan cement is a hydraulic cement in which the pozzolan constituent is up to 40 % by mass of the bienced cement.

Type IS (ASTM C595) — is a hydraulic cement in which the slag constituent is up to 95 % by mass of the blended cement. Portland blast-furnace slag cement with a slag content equal to or exceeding 70 % by mass, is permitted to contain hydrated lime.

*Type IL* (ASTM C595) —Portland-limestone cement shall be a hydraulic cement in which the limestone content is more than 5 % but less than or equal to 15 % by mass of the blended cement.

Type IT (ASTM C595) —Ternary blended cement shall be a hydraulic cement consisting of an intimate and uniform blend produced either by intergrinding, by blending, or a combination of intergrinding, and blending Portland cement clinker or Portland cement with (1) two different pozzolans, (2) slag and all pozzolan, (3) a pozzolan and all inestone, or (4) a slag and all inestone. Ternary blended cement Type IT(S>70) shall have a maximum limestone content of 15 % by mass and is permitted to contain hydrated lime. All other ternary blended cements shall have a maximum pozzolan content of 40 % by mass of the blended cement, a maximum limestone content of 15 % by mass of the blended cement, and the total content of pozzolan, limestone, and





slag shall be less than 70 % by mass of the blended cement. Any slag, pozzolan, or limestone used as ingredient in Portland cement used to manufacture a blended cement shall be included in the total amount of those materials.

All cement products are composed of specific combinations of calcium, silica, iron and alumina and small amounts of additives to achieve a desired profile of properties (e.g., strength, color). Clinker, the principle intermediate manufactured product within cement production, is typically produced from a combination of ingredients, including limestone (for calcium), coupled with smaller quantities of materials such as clay, iron ore, and sand (as sources of alumina, iron, and silica, respectively). So common are these building blocks that a wide variety of raw materials are sultable sources, and some sources, such clay and fly ash, may provide multiple chemical components. Cement plants are increasingly turning to industrial byproducts that otherwise would be discarded, once completing detailed analyses to determine the effects of using a byproduct on the manufacturing process, cement performance and facility emissions.

The U.S. industry average blended hydraulic dement, as found by this study, is 70.7% clinker by weight. Table 1 describes the cement's composition by specific material resources.

Table 1. Material composition of the U.S. industry average blended hydraulic cement

Cement Ingredients	Portion of cement product (by weight)
Clinker	/O. <b>/</b> '%
Slag	1D.7%
Gypsum	5.4%
Uncalcined limestone	6.1%
Fly ash	6.D%
Other (e.g., anydrites, pozzolans)	<1.0% each

<sup>&</sup>quot;It should be noted that while all blended hydraulic cement products contain (in-part) clinker and gypsum, the remaining composition may include one or more other materials. The average cement production data computed for this EPD represents the weighted average use of a limaterials by all participating plants. The data should not be interpreted to mean that all blended cement products contain all of the ingredients listed in this table nor in the ratios presented.

#### Applicable Standards:

ASTM C595 / C595 VI = 20 Standard Specification for Blended Hydraulic Cements.

ASTM C1157 / C1157 VI = 20 Standard Performance Specification for Hydraulic Cement.





AASHTO M 240V/M 240-20 Standard Specification for Blended Hydraulic Cement (ASTM C595/C595M-20). CSA A3001 – 13 Cementilious materials for use in concrete.

### Declared unit

The declared unit for this study is the production of one metric ton (1 t) of blended hydraulic cement awaiting delivery to a customer. The cement is either packaged and stored on pallets or housed unpackaged in silos and ready for bulk transport.

# System boundary

#### Life cycle stages

This LPD describes blended hydraulic dement production from cradle-to-gate, as depicted in Figure 1. This includes all modules (A1-A3) of the Production stage, as defined by the PCR. Specifically, Production stage modules capture the following aspects of the life cycle:

- Extraction and processing of raw materials and packaging used to produce cement (A1);
- Transportation of raw materials and packaging from source to coment production site (AZ);
- Consumption of energy and water required to produce cement (A3);
- Emissions and wastes generated from producing cement(Λ3);
- Transportation of wastes from cement production to end-of-life (AB); and
- End-of-life of wastes generated during cement production (A3).

The Construction, Use and End-of-life stages are excluded from the scope of the PCR and therefore from the impact data provided by this LPD.

#### Exclusions and cut-off criteria

Several items are excluded from the foreground life cycle inventories (LCIs) used in the LCA. These relate specifically to operations at dement production plants:

Capital equipment and infrastructure. These are expected to contribute negligibly (<1%) to the total impact of cement production given the long lifetime of these items and high output of cement over this period.

Personnel related activities, such as travel, furniture and office supplies, (Energy and water use related to on-site office operations, such as company management and sales activities, are included.)

These two categories of items are included in upstream processes (e.g., electricity generation) where they are captured in the background data used in the LCA underlying GCCA industry EPD tool.







Figure 1. Boundary of the industry-average cement production processes.

#### Allocation approach

Allocation of inventory flows and subsequently environmental impact is relevant when assets are shared between product systems. The a location method prescribed by the PCR is applied in the underlying LCA. The sub-category PCR recognizes fly ash, furnace bottom ash, bypass dust, mill scale, polluted soils, spent catalyst, aluminum oxide waste, silica fume, granulated blast furnace slag, from rich waste, cement kiln dust (CKD), flue gas desulfurization (FGD) gypsum, calcium fluoride rich waste and postconsumer gypsum as recovered materials and thus, the environmental impacts allocated to these materials are limited to the treatment and transportation required to use as a tement material input. Turther, used tires, plastics, solvents, used oil and ofly waste, coal/carbon waste, noofing asphalt, household refusederived waste, non hazardous liquid waste, industrial sluege, and agricultural waste are considered non renewable and/or renewable secondary tuels. Only the materials, water, energy, emissions, and other elemental flows associated with reprocessing, handling, sorting and transportation from the point of the generating industrial process to their use in the products, no credit is considered. All emissions from combustion at the point of use are considered. For coproducts, no credit is considered, and no allocation is applied. See the ICA model and ICA database reports of the of the N.A. version of GCCA's Industry Tool for EPDs of cement and concrete for more information. [1], [5].





# Data Quality Requirements and Assessment

### **Technology Coverage**

The life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) results presented in this EPD are calculated using an industry-average LCI of US PCA members producing blended hydraulic cement. The LCI is assembled with data shared by PCA members through a survey process carried out in 2020, representing 2019 operations. Primary (gate-to-gate activity) data were collected for limestone quarrying, clinker production and blended hydraulic cement manufacture. Data collect on and aggregation methods are described in the *Portland Cement Association Life Cycle Inventory Summary Report*, February 2021 [3].

t is estimated that the US cement industry produced 2,000,000 metric tons of blended hydrau ic cements (13). The LCI captures 1,637,140 metric tons of blended cements (as reported by 22 plants) or 80% of total US production.

Clinker, the main ingredient in cement production, can be produced using one of a number of technologies, or a combination of these technologies. The blended cement described by this EPD is produced under the profile of clinker production technologies shown in Table 2. A total of 53,141,130 metric tons of clinker are represented by the overall average industry dataset. It is estimated that in 2019 78,000,000 metric tons of clinker was produced in the US and hence, the plant sample represents about 68% of all US clinker production [13]. The plant average raw meal to clinker ratio is 1.59. The average total renewable and non-renewable primary energy required to produce a metric ton of clinker was calculated to be 3.88 GJ on a lower heating value passis.

Table 2. Clinker production technologies applied in the making of the portland cement described by this EPD.

Clinker production technology	Percent of cement produced by clinker technology
Dry with preheater and precalciner	88.5%
Dry with preheater	3.1%
Long dry	5.3%
Wel	0.6%
Other (other technology or a combination of technologies) <sup>1</sup>	2.4%

Reported as long semi-wet/semi-dry technology.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  PCA membership does not represent all companies operating in the USA,





#### Geographic and Temporal Coverage

The plended cement production impacts estimated by the LCA represent cement produced in the US in 2019. Foreground data and assumptions reflect equipment, processes, and market conditions for this year. Background processes, such as some raw material operations and electricity generation (see below), are characterized by data that represent US processes where information is available. In cases where US-specific information is not available, the best available alternative information is used. Additional details describing the background data are provided in the LCA model and LCA database report of the N.A. version of GCCA Industry EPD Tool for cement and concrete [5]. Foreground data were collected for limestone quarrying, clinker production and Portland cement manufacture. These data are summarized in the Portland Cement Association Life Cycle Inventory Summary Report, Lebruary 2021 [3].

#### Electricity grid mix

For electricity use modeling, the PCR requires the use of subnational electricity consumption LC is that consider power trading between regions. To do this, the US EPA's *eGrid* 2018 data are used, which identify grid mixes for ten US regions (NERC regions) and considers regional trading [14]. A weighted average of the NERC regional mixes is applied in the LCA, where the weighting reflects the portion of blended cement produced in each region. The resulting grid mix used in the LCA is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Grid mix for blended hydraulic cement production described by this EPD.

Energy source	Portion of representative grid mix
Gas	35.37%
Coal and peat	29.81%
Nuclear	16.91%
W nd	6.98%
Hydre	6.46%
Biomass	1.61%
Waste	1.56%
Geothermal	0.69%
Oi	0.41%
Solar	0.21%
TOTAI	100%





# Data Completeness, Consistency, Reproducibility and Transparency

All inputs (raw materials, ancillary and packaging materials, energy, and water) and outputs (emissions to air, water and soil, sol'd and liquid waste, products, co-products, and by-products) were collected, reviewed, penchmarked and modeled to complete ICI production profile for blended cements. The completeness of the coadle-to-gate process chain in terms of process steps is rigorously assessed for all cement facilities.

A review of the source of individual input and output data (direct, indirect, estimate, other) shared by participating producers was conducted and summarized in Appendix A, *Portland Cement Association Life Cycle Inventory Summary Report*, February 2021 [3].

To ensure consistency, cross checks of the energy demand and the calculated raw med to clinker ratio against ranges reported in the WBCSD Cement Sustainability Initiative, Cement CO2 and Energy Protocol, v3.1 December, 2013 were conducted per each dement facility [15]. A full description of the foreground data quality assessment is provided in the Portland Cement Association Life Cycle Inventory Summary Report, February 2021 [3]. Activity LCI data are transparently disclosed in the LCI report, including all data sources, and this way external reproducibility of the EPD results with the GCCA Industry LPD Tool for cement and concrete is possible [3], [4].

The majority of data describing cement manufacture (including limestone quarrying and clinker production) is based on direct measurements and engineering calculations, as opposed to approximations. This is particularly true for flows that are the major contributors to impact for dement production, namely clinker production.

t should be noted that the data quality assessment here in covers only limestone, clinker and dement production inventories (i.e., activity data). An evaluation of the quality of data used to model background processes (e.g., electricity generation) has also been carried out, and the results are presented in the LCA model and LCA database reports for the N.A. version of GCCA's Industry LPD Tool for Cement and Concrete [4], [5].

#### Limitations

This EPD represents average industry performance for PCA Member producing blended cements. It considers only cement plants located in the US. Results may not adequately represent cement production systems that differ greatly from those captured by the data used in the underlying LCA.

This EPD is a declaration of potential environmental impact and does not support or provide definitive comparisons of the environmental performance of specific products. Only EPDs prepared from cradle-to-grave life cycle results and





based on the same function and reference service life and quantified by the same functional unit can be used to assist purchasers and users in making informed comparisons between products.

The FPD provided here in and the underlying LCA conform to the *NSE Product Category Rule for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration for Portland, Blended Hydraulic, Masonry, Mortar, and Plastic (Stucco) Cements.* EPDs of blended cement that follow a different PCR may not be comparable.

CIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks. Further, LCA offers a wide array of environmental impact indicators, and this LPD reports a collection of those, as specified by the PCR.

In addition to the impact results, this EPD provides several metrics related to resource consumption and waste generation. These data are informational as they do not provide a measure of impact on the environment. Further, a number of LCA impact categories and inventory items are still emerging or under development and can have high levels of uncertainty that preclude international acceptance pending further development. Use caution when interpreting results for these categories—identified with an "\*" in Table 4.

#### Supplementary information regarding LCA methodology

Additional information regarding databases and impact methodologies used for conducting the LCA for this EPD can be found in the LCA core model and LCA database reports of the N.A. version of GCCA's Industry EPD Tool for Cement and Concrete [4], [5].

# Life cycle assessment results

The cracle to gate (**A1** to A3) LPD results of producing one metric ton of blended hydraulic cement are presented in Table **4**.

Table 4. Production stage LPD results for blended hydraulic cements.

Impact category and inventory indicators	Unit	Blended Cements 1 metric ton
Global warming potential, GW⊇ 100, I⊇CC 2013	kç CO₂ eq	739
Ozone depletion potential, OD⊇	kg CFC-11 ec	1,93⊑-05
Acidification potential, AP	kg SO₂ eq	1.45
Eutrophication potential, EP	kç N eq	0.95
Smog formation potential, SF?	kg O₃ eq	26.5
Abiolic depletion potential for non-toss limineral resources, A⊃P elements*	kg Sb eq	1.46E-04
Abiotic depletion potential for fossil resources, ADP fossil*	Mr LHV	3782





Impact category and inventory indicators	Unit	Blandad Cements 1 metric ton
Renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel). R구R *	M., LHV	134
Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, RPR <sub>u</sub> *	M <sub>4</sub> LHV	6
Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), NRPR <sub>E</sub> *	M <sub>4</sub> LHV	3782
Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, NRPR <sub>M</sub> *	ML LHV	0
Secondary materials, SM*	kg	<b>26</b> 4
Renewable secondary fuels, RSF*	M⊾ L⊢∨	42
Non-renewable secondary fuels, NRS=*	M₄ L⊢V	405
Net use of freshwater, NFW*	m <sup>3</sup>	1 <b>.</b> 0
Hazardous waste disposed, HWD*	kg	9.70E-03
Non-hazardous waste disposed, NHWD*	kg	4.1
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository, HLRW*	kg	X <sup>1;</sup>
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository, ILLIRW*	kg	<b>x</b> 1;
Components for re-use, CRU*	kg	0
Materials for recycling, MHR*	kg	<b>D_4</b>
Materials for energy recovery, MER*	kg	0
Recovered energy exported from the product system, EE1	M₊ LI V	1.50
Additional Inventory Parameters for Transparency		
Global warming potential - biogenic, GWP.ம்"	kg CO₂eq	0.73
Emissions from calcination*	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	371
Emissions from combustion of waste from renewable sources*	kg CO₂ eq	0.02
Emissions from combustion of waste from non-renewable sources*	kg CO₂ eq	39.5
Removals and emissions associated with biogenic content of bio-backaging	kg CO₂	-0.64

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  x. Not all LCA datasets for upstream materials include these impact categories and thus results may be incomplete.

# Interpretation

Based on the blended cement EPD results calculated with the GCCA Industry EPD Tool for cement and concrete, the following conclusions could be reached (4). The Manufacturing module (A3) drives most of the potential environmental impacts. Manufacturing impacts are primarily driven by energy use (electricity and thermal fuels) used during the pyroprocessing of limestone in the production of clinker. Clinker content in cement similarly defines the relative environmental profile of the final cement product. Raw material extraction (A1) is the second largest contributor to the Production stage LPD results, followed by transportation (A2).

## Additional environmental information

Of the responding plants, around 15% of the facilities are ISO 9001 certified and another 29% are ISO 14001 certified or both. The rest of the plants adhere to different types of corporate or company specific internal environmental management systems, e.g., Spectra QEST (www.spectragest.com/) which is designed to assist responsible plant personnel by tracking and notifying them of major reporting, monitoring and recordkeeping requirements, Envirol IS, a

<sup>\*1</sup> Use caution when interpreting results for these categories.





database management system to track permits and permit conditions and all environmental related tasks or maintain similar ISO 14001 environmental management system without certification.

Air pollution apatement equipment used at PCA members cement facilities may consist of any mix of the following technologies: high and low temperature baghouses, bir vents, drum filters, dry filters, cartridge filters, precipitators, water sprinklers for dust control, Selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR), Selective catalytic reduction (SCR), wet scrubbers, dry scrubbers (dry sorbent injection, DSI), Activated Carbon Injection (ASI), and Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer (RTO).

t is also noted that about a third of the plants package some of their cements, the majority bulk load their cements for sale.

## References

- 1. ASTM C595 / C595M 20 Standard Specification for Blended Hydraulic Cernents.
- 2. ASTM International, Program Operator Rules, version:8.0, 0/1/29/20.
- 3. Athena Sustainable Materials Institute 2021, Portland Cement Association (PCA) Life Cycle Inventory Summary Report. Prepared by: Mr. Jamie Meil, Mr. Grant Finlayson and Lindita Bushi, PhD, Prepared for: PCA, February 2021.
- 4. Global Cement and Concrete Association (GCCA) 2023. N.A. version of Industry EPD (ool for Cement and Concrete. <a href="https://concrete-epo-tool.org/">https://concrete-epo-tool.org/</a>.
- 5. GCCA and PCA, GCCA Industry FPD Tool for Cement and Concrete (V4.1), I CA Model, North American version, Prepared by Quantis, 2023.
- 6. ISO 14021:2016 Environmental labels and declarations Self-declared environmental claims (Type II environmental [abel[ing)]
- 7. ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labeling and cedarations Type III environmental declarations Principles and procedures.
- 8. ISO 14040:2006/Amd1:2020 Environmental management Life cycle assessment Principles and framework.
- 9. ISO 14044:2006/Amd1:2017/Amd2:2020 Environmental management Life cycle assessment Requirements and guidelines.
- 10. ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works Core rules for environmental product coclarations of construction products and services.
- 11. NSF International, Product Category Rule Environmental Product Declarations, PCR for Portland, Blended, Masonry, Mortar, and Plastic (Stucco) Cements, v3.1, Sept. 2020.
- 12. NSF International, Product Category Rule Environmental Product Declarations, PCR for Concrete, February 2020.
- 13. US EPA (2020) eGRID 2018. The Emissions and Generation Resource Integrated Database. http://www.epa.gov/energy/egrid...
- 14. US Geological Survey (USGS), National Minerals Information Center. Cement Statistics and Information, RY 2019. https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmid/cement-statistics-and-information.
- 15. WBCSD CSI 2013: CO<sub>2</sub> and Energy Protocol Version 3.1 of 9 December 2013. https://www.cement-co2protocol.org/en/





# Participating PCA members

Member	Corporate headquarters	Website
Argos USA Corp.	Alpharetta, GA	argos.co/usa
Ash Grove Cement	Overland Park. KS	ashgrove.com
Buzzi Jnicem JSA, [nc.	Belhlehem, PA	buzziuricerrusa.com
Cal⊇or:land Company	Glendora, CA	calportland.com
CEMEX JSA	Houston, TX	cerrexusa.com
Continental Coment	Chesterfield, MO	continentalcoment.com
Drake Cement	Scottsdale, AZ	drakecement.com
Federal White Cement	Weedsteck, ON	federalwhitecement.com
GCC of America	Glendale, CO	gccusa.com
LafargeHoldim	Rosemont,  L	afarge=na.com
Lehigh Hanson	Irving, IX	lehighharson.com
Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.	Dallas, TX	martir-mariet.a.com
Mitsubishi Cement Corporation	Henderson, NV	mitsubishidement.com
Monardi Ceiner, Company	Humboldt, KS	monant-cerrercom
National Cement Group	Encine, CA	nationalcement.com
Salt River Materials Croup	Scottsdale, AZ	srmaterials.com
SL Marys Cerren.	Terento, ON	slmaryscement.com
Suwannee American Cement	Branford, FL	suwannecement.com
Titan America LLC	Nerfolk, VA	:itanamerica.com